



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/799,933 | 03/15/2004 | Dae-gyu Bae | Q79988 | 6838 |
| 23373 7590 04/25/2008 | | | | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | | |
| SUITE 800 | | | | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| LEWIS, ALICIA M | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2164 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 04/25/2008 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/799,933

Applicant(s)

BAE ET AL.

Examiner

Alicia M. Lewis

Art Unit

2164

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Alicia M. Lewis.(3) S. Stuart Lee.(2) Charles Rones.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 4/15/08 & 4/21/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Niwa - US 2003/0225696 and Christensen US 6,055,543.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner explained how the Christensen reference teaches file indexing information to access a plurality of files, i.e., the package containing one or more wrappers. The examiner also explained how the directory of tags could be interpreted to be file indexing information preceding the plurality of resource files.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Charles Rones/ SPE 2164

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required